

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

2008 MAR 28 PM 3:54

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

*This space for  
Clerk's Office File Stamp*

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Hayes  
FROM: C Puttmann, Deputy Clerk BY RECEIVED DATE: 3/25/2008  
CASE NO.: 07cv2373 WQH CAB DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff  
CASE TITLE: McKinney v Appolo Group et al  
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Plaintiff's Motion of a Request for an Oral Hearing

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input type="checkbox"/>		<u>OTHER:</u>

Date forwarded: 3/26/2008

**ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes: Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge Hayes

Dated: 3-27-08  
cc: All Parties

By: JK

**REJECTED**

1 Chad McKinney  
Pro Se  
2 6266 Madeline St Apt #61  
San Diego, CA 92115  
3 619-634-3566

4 **THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

5 CHAD MCKINNEY, an individual,

9 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 APOLLO GROUP INC., UNIVERSITY OF  
PHOENIX, a Corporation, MECHELLE  
16 BONILLA, an Enrollment Manager at  
UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX, KYAN  
FLYNN, Director of Enrollment at  
17 UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX, APRIL  
ALCORN, an Employee Relations  
18 Consultant at UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX  
CARLYN LINDSTEN, Associate Director of  
19 Enrollment at UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX

20 Defendants

) CIV. Case No.07-cv-2373 *WQH CAB*  
)  
) FOR VIOLATION OF FEDERAL  
) FALSE CLAIMS ACT AND FOR  
) VIOLATION OF THE  
) THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT 1964 AND  
) THE AMENDMENTS TO TITLE  
) VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF  
) 1991

) RETALIATION- WRONGFUL  
) TERMINATION &  
) EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION  
) CIVIL ACTION

) **Plaintiff's Motion of a Request for an**  
) **Oral Hearing**

) Date: April 7, 2008  
) Time: 11:00 a.m.  
) Courtroom: 4  
) Judge: Hon. William Q. Hayes

) Demand for Trial by Jury Pursuant  
) to U.S. Constitution, 7<sup>th</sup> Amendment

) March 25, 2008  
)